

Appln. No. 10/007,990  
Amendment dated Jul. 25, 2005  
Regarding Office Action dated May 23, 2005  
Docket No. DE9-2000-0040 (269)

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

These remarks are offered in response to the final Office Action of May 23, 2005 (Office Action). As this response is timely filed within the 3-month shortened statutory period, no fee is believed due.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 14, 29, 31, and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by "Polyphone Decision Tree Specialization for Language Adaptation" by Schultz, *et al.* (Schultz). Claims 15 and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz.

Claims 2-13, 16-27, and 30 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants have amended claims 2 and 16 to write them in an independent form, incorporating all the limitations from original claims 1 and 15. Additionally, Applicants have altered claims 2 and 16 to correct the minor informalities, to which objections had been asserted. Claims 2 and 16 should now be in an allowable condition.

Applicants have amended claims 1 and 15 to incorporate limitations previously contained in dependent claims 3 and 17. Claims 3 and 17 have been canceled. Because claims 3 and 17 were indicated as having allowable subject matter, claims 1, 4-14, 15, and 18-28 should now be in an allowable condition.

Applicants have amended claim 29 to include the limitations previously contained within claim 30. Claim 30 was then canceled. Because claim 29 was indicated as having allowable subject matter, claims 29, 31-32 should now be in an allowable condition.

The above amendments were made to expedite prosecution and to accept claimed subject matter that was indicated as being allowable. The amendments made are not to be construed in a negative fashion against the claims as originally presented.

Appln. No. 10/007,990  
Amendment dated Jul. 25, 2005  
Regarding Office Action dated May 23, 2005  
Docket No. DE9-2000-0040 (269)

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully reserve the right to pursue a divisional, continuation, or continuation-in-part (assuming an allowance follows the present Office Action response) based upon the originally drafted claims and/or claims based upon material contained within the present specification. Applicants also respectfully reserve the right to reassert the originally drafted claims during this prosecution in the event that an allowance does not follow the present Office Action response.

The Applicants believe that this application is now in full condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested. The Applicants request that the Examiner call the undersigned (direct line 954 759-8937) if clarification is needed on any matter within this Amendment, or if the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of the subject application to completion.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Gregory A. Nelson, Registration No. 30,577  
Richard A. Hinson, Registration No. 47,652  
Brian K. Buchheit, Registration No. 52,667  
AKERMAN SENTERFITT  
Customer No. 40987  
Post Office Box 3188  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188  
Telephone: (561) 653-5000


Appl. No. 10/007,990  
Amendment dated Jul. 25, 2005  
Regarding Office Action dated May 23, 2005  
Docket No. DE9-2000-0040 (269)

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully reserve the right to pursue a divisional, continuation, or continuation-in-part (assuming an allowance follows the present Office Action response) based upon the originally drafted claims and/or claims based upon material contained within the present specification. Applicants also respectfully reserve the right to reassert the originally drafted claims during this prosecution in the event that an allowance does not follow the present Office Action response.

The Applicants believe that this application is now in full condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested. The Applicants request that the Examiner call the undersigned (direct line 954 759-8937) if clarification is needed on any matter within this Amendment, or if the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of the subject application to completion.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 26 July 2005

  
Gregory A. Nelson, Registration No. 30,577  
Richard A. Hinson, Registration No. 47,652  
Brian K. Buchheit, Registration No. 52,667  
AKERMAN SENTERFITT  
Customer No. 40987  
Post Office Box 3188  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188  
Telephone: (561) 653-5000